

NOV 10 2005

67,108-022
Capece 2-11

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Capece, et al.
Serial Number: 10/686,451
Filed: 10/15/2003
Group Art Unit: 2685
Examiner: Stein, Julie E.
Title: NEURAL NETWORK-BASED EXTENSION OF
GLOBAL POSITION TIMING

RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper is responsive to the Office Action mailed on August 10, 2005. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application.

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103 based upon *Telia* (EP 631 453). *Telia* cannot possibly be used for establishing a *prima facie* case of obviousness against Applicant's claimed invention, which includes using a neural network for generating a data set of future time information, without completely reconfiguring *Telia's* arrangement to include a wholly separate feature not found in that reference. *Telia* is strictly concerned with location information. The "timing advance" mentioned by the Examiner described in column 3, lines 20-22, has to do with distance information not time information. Even that portion of *Telia* does not deal with generating time information in a data set using a neural network. There is no *prima facie* case of obviousness.